

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 11th January, 1971

No. 15-1Lab-70 1021.- In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Laxmirattan Engineering Works Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 10 of 1970

*between*

THE WORKMEN THROUGH BHARTIYA MAZDOOR SANGH 2D/50 N.I.T., FARIDABAD AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S LAKSHMIRATTAN ENGINEERING WORKS LTD., FARIDABAD

*Present.*

Shri Onkar Parshad, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma with Shri A. P. Jain, for the management.

## AWARD

Shri Gaisi Ram was in the service of M/s Laxmirattan Engineering Works Ltd., Faridabad. His services were terminated and this gave rise to an industrial dispute. Accordingly, the Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to this court for adjudication,—*vide* Gazette Notification No. ID/FD/15/21958, dated 20th July, 1970.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Gaisi Ram was justified and in order. If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the reference, usual notice were issued to the parties. It is, however, not necessary to decide the case on merits because a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The workman has received the amount due to him and the management have also made him some payments *exgratia*. The workman does not press for reinstatement. The terms of compromise have been recorded and have been filed in this Court. The statement of the representative of the workman has been recorded and he admits the correctness of the compromise. In view of the compromise between the parties, I hold that the workman is not entitled to any further relief. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Dated 23rd December, 1970.

No. 1493, dated Rohtak, the 8th December, 1970.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

The 12th January, 1971

No. 17-I Lab-70/1214.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak-Hissar Transport Company Rohtak.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 100 of 1970

*between*

THE WORKMAN SHRI BRIJ LAL THROUGH SHREE HARYANA MOTOR TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION, ROHTAK AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK HISSAR TRANSPORT COMPANY, ROHTAK.

*Present.—*

Shri S. N. Vats, for the workman.

Nemo, for the management.

## AWARD

Shri Brij Lal was in the service of M/s. Rohtak, Hissar Transport Company, Rohtak. His services were terminated and this gave rise to an industrial dispute. Accordingly the Governor of Haryana in exercise of powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication,—vide Gazette Notification No. LD/RH/60-E-69/22694, dated 14th August, 1969.

“Whether termination of services of Sh. Brij Lal was justified and in order. If not ; to what relief is he entitled ?”

The reference was transferred to this Court for adjudication,—vide Gazette Notification No. 6091-ASO (E)-Lab-70/22606, dated 31st July, 1970 and my learned predecessor Shri O. P. Sharma ordered that usual notices be issued to the parties. It is, however not necessary to decide the case on merits because Sh. S. N. Vats who represents the workman has made a statement that the workman has not given him any instructions to proceed further with the case although he has sent him a number of messages requesting him to give him further instructions. Sh. Vats has stated that the workman does not appear to be interested in pursuing his case. In view of the statement of Sh. Vats, I hold that the termination of services of Shri Brij Lal is not proved to be unjustified and he is not entitled to any relief. If I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

Dated 22nd December, 1970.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 1496, dated Rohtak, the 28th December, 1970

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes, Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

B. L. AHUJA,  
Commissioner for Labour and Employment and Secy.

## FISHERIES DEPARTMENT

The 11th January, 1971

No. 10419-FAH-V-71/450.—The result of the Departmental Examination in accounts held on the 19th October, 1970, is declared as under :—

Serial No.	Name and Particulars	Remarks
1.	Shri Surrinder Kumar Kale, Fisheries Officer, Nuh	Passed with credit
2.	Shri Vaishno Lal Malhotra, Fisheries Officer, Sonapat, District Rohtak	Passed with Higher Standard
3.	Shri Onkar Singh Chawla, Fisheries Officer, Gurgaon	Passed with Higher Standard

SHER JANG SINGH,